

IPW

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
(Case No. 05-967-A5 Client Ref: EX04-058C-US)

In the Application of:

Francis Lang et al.

Serial No.: 10/568,253

Filing Date: June 2, 2006

For: Ups As Modifiers Of The Beta  
Catenin Pathway And Methods Of Use

Art Unit: To Be Assigned

Examiner: To Be Assigned

Confirmation No.: 4136

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In regard to the above identified application:

1. We are transmitting herewith the attached:
  - a. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) (2 sheets);
  - b. Form PTO-1449 (1 sheet);
  - c. Cited References; and
  - d. Return Receipt Postcard.
2. With respect to fees:
  - a.   X   No fee is required
3. Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. **13-2490**.
4. CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR § 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the paper, as described in paragraph 1 hereinabove, are being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on Oct. 31, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Oct. 31, 2006

By:

Sherri L. Oslick

Sherri L. Oslick, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 52,087

**McDonnell, Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff**

300 South Wacker Drive

Chicago, IL 60606



**Foreign Documents**

2. WO 03/052068 A - Michael Costa et al., June 26, 2003.

In accordance with MPEP Sections 609 and 707.05(b), it is requested the document cited (including any cited in applicant's specification which is not repeated on the attached Form PTO-1449) be given thorough consideration and that it be cited of record in the prosecution history of the present application by initialing on Form PTO-1449. Such initialing is requested even if the Examiner does not consider a cited document to be sufficiently pertinent to use in a rejection, or otherwise does not consider it to be prior art for any reason, or even if the Examiner does not believe that the guidelines for citation have been fully complied with. This is requested so that each document becomes listed on the face of the patent issuing on the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Oct. 31, 2006

By:

Sherri L. Oslick

Sherri L. Oslick, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 52,087

**McDonnell, Boennen Hulbert & Berghoff**

300 South Wacker Drive

Chicago, IL 60606

FORM PTO-1449

U.S. Department of Commerce  
Patent and Trademark Office

Atty. Docket No.

Serial No.

05-967-A5

10/568,253

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE  
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**  
(Use several sheets if necessary)

Applicant:

Francis Lang et al.

Filing Date:

June 2, 2006

Group:

To Be Assigned

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date if Appropriate
	A1	6,066,500	May 23, 2000	Bennett et al.	A		

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation	
							Yes	No
	A2	WO 03/052068	June 26, 2003	WO	A			

## OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc).

	A3	
EXAMINER		DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication.